

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
2 Juan-Antonio Sanchez-Herrero	53806-00005USPT	7238
23/2006	EXAM	INER
	NGUYEN,	THANH T
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2144	
0:		Juan-Antonio Sanchez-Herrero 53806-00005USPT EXAM NGUYEN, ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/091,658	SANCHEZ-HERRERO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tammy T. Nguyen	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2005. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina 11.	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by \square objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. So stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2144



United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023I
WWW.uspto.gov

Detailed Office Action

- 1. This action is in response to the amendment file on November 22, 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-37 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 4. Claims 11, 13, 21, 23, 24, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al., (hereinafter Chang) U.S. Patent No. 6,681,114 in view of Srinivasan et al., (hereinafter Srinivasan) U.S. Patent No. 6,587,856

Art Unit: 2144

5. As to claim 1, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, including in a network resolution domain having a plurality of users identifiers on a per subscriber basis for identifying a user under different service environments, a User Distribution Server (UDS) disposed to determine from a plurality of network servers the specific network server in charge of said user under a particular service environment, said UDS comprising: a secondary database having a user identifier for identifying the user under different service environments(fig.3, profile database 107); a mechanism for transferring user identifiers and said selected service data to said secondary database from primary databases associated with respective network servers (fig.3, profile proxy server 108); a querying mechanism for receiving a service request from a Service Requester Node (fig.3, 112)(fig.3, message server 106); and a response mechanism for sending a server identifier of said specific network server to the Service Requester, wherein the server identifier is usable by said Service Requester Node (Fig. 3, 112) to determine said specific network server (Fig. 3, SGSN 152). But, Chang does not explicitly teach a plurality of user identifiers. However, Srinivasan teaches a plurality of user identifiers (see col.18, lines 5-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Srinivasan into the computer system of Chang to have a plurality of user identifiers because it would have provided specific functions that can establishing an identification with another or others.

Page 3

6. As to claim 2, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: said response mechanism transmits an answer comprising, selectively, the specific network server

Art Unit: 2144

in charge of said user under a particular service environment; a list of possible servers if a redundant configuration exists; and a new user identifier with an indication that another query on said new identifier necessary (see col.6, lines 1-32).

- As to claim 3, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said UDS is adapted as a first UDS and said network includes a second UDS, and wherein: said transfer, querying and response mechanism are respectively disposed to transmit data between fist UDS and second UDS (see col.8, lines 25-34).
- 8. As to claim 4, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: said transferring mechanism comprises operating means for recovering user identifiers and necessary service data from specific network servers acting as primary databases (Fig.3, profile proxy server 108).
- 9. As to claim 5, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: the operating means includes means for informing said UDS about needs for updating user identifiers and/or necessary service data at indication from primary databases or another UDS (fig.3).
- 10. As to claim 6, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: the operating means includes means for said UDS registering into and withdrawing from all network servers intended for acting as primary databases (fig.3, profile proxy server 108).
- 11. As to claim 7, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: the operating means includes means for indicating recovery preferences for recovering user identifiers and/or necessary service data for all served users, for a specific set of users, or only for a particular user (fig.3, 112).

Art Unit: 2144

12. As to claim 8, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: the operating means further includes means for recovering user identifiers and necessary service data selectively, for at least one set of: (a) identifiers of a specific type amongst a plurality

Page 5

of valid identifier types; (b) identifiers used in specific domains; and (c) identifiers

belonging to specific identification spaces in a domain. (see col.6, lines 33-65).

13. As to claim 9, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein data sensitive to temporary validity per specific network service include a "Time To Live" (TTL) parameter intended for determining the needs for data recovery from primary databases (see col. 1, line 62 to col. 2, line 5).

- 14. As to claim 10, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising: at least one protocol handler module and, in the event said UDS comprises more than one protocol handler module, a protocol discriminator module, each protocol handler module being in charge of a particular telecommunications protocol (fig.3, IP network 120).
- 15. As to claim 12, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, comprising: at least one "Diameter" related protocol handler module (fig.3, IP Network).
- 16. As to claim 14, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, comprising: at least one "Radius" related protocol handler module (fig.3).
- 17. As to claim 15, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising protocol and processing means for responding to the service request using an external database not intended for acting as primary database or as another UDS (see fig.3).

Art Unit: 2144

- 18. As to claim 16, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said external database is a number portability database (see col.4, lines 1-15).
- 19. As to claim 17, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, including a telecommunications system comprising: at least one subscriber having a identifying said subscriber under different service environments (Fig. 3, 112); a plurality of servers (Fig.2 server 106a, 106b, ...106d); and a User Distribution Server (UDS) for determining a specific network server in charge of said user under a particular service environment, wherein said UDS comprises: a secondary database providing storage for user identifiers and selected service data pertaining to said servers (fig.3, profile database 107); a mechanism for transferring user identifiers and said selected service data to said secondary database from selected servers acting as primary databases (fig.3, profile proxy server 108); a querying mechanism for receiving a service request from a Service Requester Node (fig.3, 112)(fig.3, message server 106); and a response mechanism for sending a server identifier of said specific network server to the Service Requester Node in response to said service request (Fig.3, SGSN 152). But, Chang does not explicitly teach a plurality of user identifiers. However, Srinivasan teaches a plurality of user identifiers (see col.18, lines 5-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Srinivasan into the computer system of Chang to have a plurality of user identifiers because it would have provided specific functions that can establishing an identification with another or others.

Art Unit: 2144

As to claim 18, Change teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: relevant user identifiers in at least one of a plurality of primary databases may be submitted for updating to one specific UDS, to a group of UDS, or to all UDS known at said at least one of a plurality of primary database, selectively (Fig.3, profile proxy server 108, profile database 107).

- 21. As to claim 19, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of primary databases is arranged for receiving UDS recovery preferences from one specific UDS, from a group of UDS, or from all UDS known at said at least one primary database, selectively, and for updating each UDS accordingly with each of the recovery preferences (fig.3).
- As to claim 20, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: the UDS acts as a Subscription Locator Function (SLF) (see col.7, lines 55-61).
- As to claim 22, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of specific servers acting as primary databases is a Presence Server (Fig.3, profile proxy server).
- As to claim 25, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) (see col.7, lin55 to col.8, line 10).
- 25. As to claim 26, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is a Signaling Gateway (fig.3, 112).
- 26. As to claim 27, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is a GPRS Supporting Node (fig.3, 112).

Art Unit: 2144

As to claim 28, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is an Application Server (AS) intended for multimedia related use (see col.9, lines 15-60).

- As to claim 29, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is an Open Service Architecture Service Capability Server (see fig.2, servers).
- 29. As to claim 30, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is a Multimedia Messaging Server (fig.3, message server 106).
- As to claim 31, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of Service Requester Nodes is a CAMEL Gateway Server (Fig.3, gateway 111).
- As to claim 34, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: at least one of the plurality of external databases used for resolution is a number portability database (fig. 3).
- As to claim 35, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, including in a network resolution domain having a per subscriber basis for identifying a user under different service environments, and wherein a User Distribution Server (UDS) is disposed to determine from a plurality of network servers the specific network server in charge of said user under a particular service environment, a method for operating the UDS comprising the steps of: establishing a secondary database in said UDS for storing user identifiers and selected service data pertaining to said network servers (fig.3,

Art Unit: 2144

profile database 107); transferring user identifiers and said selected service data to said secondary database from primary databases associated with respective network servers (fig.3, profile proxy server 108); receiving a service request from a Service Requester Node (fig.3, 112)(fig.3, message server 106); and sending a server identifier of said specific network server from said UDS to said Service Requester Node (Fig.3, 112) in response to said request, said server identifier usable by said Service Requester Node to determine and specific network server (Fig.3, SGSN 152). But, Chang does not explicitly teach a plurality of user identifiers. However, Srinivasan teaches a plurality of user identifiers (see col.18, lines 5-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Srinivasan into the computer system of Chang to have a plurality of user identifiers because it would have provided specific functions that can establishing an identification with another or others.

- As to claim 36, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein: said transmitted answer comprises, selectively, the specific network server in charge of said user under a particular service environment; a list of possible servers if a redundant configuration exists; and a new user identifier with an indication that another query on said new identifier is necessary (see col.6, lines 1-32).
- 34. As to claim 37, Chang teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said UDS comprises a first UDS and said network includes a second UDS, and wherein: said transfer, receiving and answer transmitting steps, respectively include data transmission between said first UDS and said second UDS (see col.8, lines 25-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,658 Page 10

Art Unit: 2144

Olaims 11, 13, 21, 23, 24, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al., (hereinafter Chang) U.S. Patent No. 6,681,114, Srinivasan et al., (hereinafter Srinivasan) U.S. Patent No. 6,587,856 in view of Richard Paul Ejzak., (hereinafter Ejzak) U.S. Patent No. 6,871,070.

- As to claims 11 and 32, Chang, and Srinivasan do not explicitly teach domain name server (DNS). However, Ejzak teaches Domain Name Server (see col.8, lines 58-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Ejzak into the computer system of Chang to have a Domain Name Server because it would have provided specific functions that can Translating the name into the IP address.
- As to claims 13, and 33, Chang and Srinivasan do not explicitly teach "Light-Weight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP)". However, Ejzak teaches Light-Weight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP)(see col.6, lines 56-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Ejzak into the computer system of Chang to have Light-Weight Directory Access Protocol because it would have provided specific functions that can make it possible for almost any application running on virtually any computer platform to obtain directory information, such as email addresses and public keys.

Art Unit: 2144

- 38. As to claim 21, Chang, and Srinivasan do not explicitly teach a Home Subscription Server (HSS). However, Ejzak teaches Home Subscription Server (HSS) (see col.4, lines 13-21, and col.6, lines 26-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Ejzak into the computer system of Chang to have Home Subscription Server (HSS) because it would have provided specific functions can be used to provide high-speed connectivity between LANs, such as token ring and Ethernet.
- 39. As to claim 23, Chang, and Srinivasan do not explicitly teach Interrogating Call
 Status Control Function (I-CSCF). However, Ejzak teaches Interrogating Call Status
 Control Function (see col.7, lines 50-67, and col.10, lines 16-38). It would have been
 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to
 implement the teachings of Ejzak into the computer system of Chang to have
 Interrogating Call Status Control Function (I-CSCF) because it would have provided
 specific functions to be furnished with the actual name or address of the HSS holding
 the data for the particular subscriber.
- As to claim 24, Change, and Srinivasan do not explicitly teach a Serving Call Status Control Function (S-CSCF). However, Ejzak teaches a Serving Call Status Control Function (S-CSCF) (see col.14, lines 11-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Ejzak into the computer system of Chang to have a Serving Call Status Control Function (S-CSCF because it would have provided specific functions to be

Application/Control Number: 10/091,658 Page 12

Art Unit: 2144

furnished with the actual name or address of the HSS holding the data for the particular subscriber.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

42. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tammy T. Nguyen** who may be reached via telephone at (571) 272-3929. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. eastern standard time.

If you need to send the Examiner, a facsimile transmission regarding this

Application/Control Number: 10/091,658 Page 13

Art Unit: 2144

instant application, please send it to (703) 872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, David Wiley, may be reached at (571) 272-3923.

TTN

February 15, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100